



MAIELLO BRUNGO & MAIELLO, LLP

A T T O R N E Y S A T L A W

## CONSTRUCTION NEWS

mbm-law.net 412-242-4400

This Issue:  
DGS Prohibited from Considering  
Competitive Proposals for  
Construction Projects

Construction News  
Summer 2006



One Churchhill Park  
3301 McCrady Road  
Pittsburgh, PA 15235  
www.mbm-law.net

MAIELLO BRUNGO & MAIELLO, LLP  
A T T O R N E Y S A T L A W

## We know Construction Law.

Members of Maiello, Brungo & Maiello's Construction Law Group can craft the solutions you need for timely and effective resolution.

- Whether reviewing a contract or the scope of professional service agreements, resolving a labor or bid dispute, dealing with changes and related costs, or addressing sales and use tax, MB&M Law Group can meet your needs.
- MB&M Law Group has a solid foundation with extensive industry experience and skilled architect/attorneys.
- We have a distinctive advantage in providing legal services because we understand the specifics of our construction client's business.
- With our knowledge and sophistication on the latest legal decisions and regulations affecting the construction trade, we also provide valuable insight and services to keep clients informed of current legal trends and topics.

As a full-service law firm, Maiello, Brungo & Maiello can meet all of your construction needs. From developing projects of exceptional quality design and construction... to effectively managing and controlling costs and risks, consider us your legal tool. We're only a phone call when your next construction project arises.

## DGS PROHIBITED FROM CONSIDERING COMPETITIVE PROPOSALS FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.

The Commonwealth Court has sided with the Associated Builders and Contractors, Inc. ruling that the Department of General Service's policy of soliciting for competitive sealed proposals is illegal under the Commonwealth Procurement Code.

In April of 2005 DGS adopted a policy authorizing the use of proposals for awarding construction contracts for projects in excess of \$5,000,000. The proposals were to be reviewed by an internal committee with the award going to the contractor with the highest overall score. 60% of the score was based on cost, 30% based on competency to perform the work and 10% based on disadvantage business participation. While unsuccessful proposers would be debriefed on their own proposal, DGS would not disclose any information regarding the content of the awardee's proposal.

In response to ABC's challenge, DGS took the position that Section 513 of the Procurement Code allowed it to pursue this method of award. §513 provides:

*When the contracting officer determines in writing that the use of competitive sealed bidding is either not practicable or advantageous to the Commonwealth, a contract may be entered into by competitive sealed proposals.*

DGS argued that the word contract in the above section included construction contracts and that this section, taken in context of the whole Procurement Code, was intended to provide alternative methods of Procurement which did not need to comply with the Separations Act.

ABC argued that given the legislative history of the enactment of the Procurement Code prior proposed amendments showed that it was not the intent of the legislature to allow construction contracts to be procured through alternative means other than competitive bidding and further that the Procurement Code did not repeal the Separations Act.

After reviewing the legislative history of the enactment of the Procurement Code, the Commonwealth Court agreed that the intent of the Procurement Code was not to allow construction contracts to be let by a proposal process. The Commonwealth Court also found that the specific language in the Procurement Code did not repeal the Separations Act thereby still requiring DGS to enter into separate contracts for construction.

ABC raised other challenges to DGS' procurement methods, however, based on its ruling that the proposals were not authorized for construction contracts the Court did not need to address these issues which included a constitutional challenge and the inability of an unsuccessful bidder to challenge the award.

DGS has filed a petition for appeal with the Supreme Court and requested expedited review alleging that \$260 million worth of construction work throughout the State has been suspended as a result of the Commonwealth Court's decision.

This case is another in a continuing series of cases which will undoubtedly continue to define the scope and limitations of the Pennsylvania Commonwealth Procurement Code. Stay in contact with MBM for further developments regarding the Procurement Code and other construction related matters.

### The MB&M Construction Law Group includes:

Alfred C. Maiello	acm@mbm-law.net
Lawrence J. Maiello	ljm@mbm-law.net
Jennifer L. Cerce	jlc@mbm-law.net
David Raves	dr@mbm-law.net

To speak with any of our attorneys, call (412) 242-4400.  
If you prefer not to receive information about Maiello Brungo & Maiello, please call 412-242-4400 or e-mail mp@mbm-law.net.

**Maiello Brungo & Maiello** provides more legal information for the construction industry at our Web site: [www.mbm-law.net](http://www.mbm-law.net).